

From: Burmark, Robert (ECY)
Sent: Monday, August 18, 2008 3:34 PM
To: 'Marshall, Kristin B.'
Subject: RE: PTPC Bart Determination

Kristin;

Thanks for the response.

The #10 Boiler scrubber information is in conflict with PTPC's BART re submittal. Page 3-6 says the #10 power boiler has a venturi scrubber. smelt dissolving tank and lime kiln both have "wet scrubbers". This is consistent with other pages in the report, so I guess the writer got the info mixed up.

Please give me a call when your schedule allows to talk about this. I we can straighten it out. I will be here this afternoon until 4:30pm, on Tuesday at 8am. I would like a little info on each scrubber, like basic scrubber type/class, manufacturer, any size info available. We discuss what might be appropriate for adding a fuel discussion to Sect 2.2.3 also.

Bob Burmark
Air Quality Program
Phone: (360)407-6812
Fax: (360)407-7534
Email: rbur461@ecy.wa.gov

Department of Ecology
PO Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

-----Original Message-----

From: Marshall, Kristin B. [mailto:kristinm2@ptpc.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 17, 2008 12:53 PM
To: Burmark, Robert (ECY)
Subject: RE: PTPC Bart Determination

Bob,

I have left you a voice mail as well. Perhaps we can talk about this M Tuesday.

The #10 power boiler uses a wet scrubber (manufactured by Turbotak) & multiclones. It is not a venturi scrubber.
The oil used in the lime kiln, recovery furnace, and #10 power boiler same--reprocessed fuel oil.
The lime kiln uses a wet venturi scrubber.
I am investigating the staged combustion question.

We also noted that Table 2-3 identifies "fuel selection" as an available control option for the boiler. But the discussion of SO2 control options in Section 2.3.3 does not mention it.

Thank you for the chance to review this document.

Regards,

Kristin

From: Burmark, Robert (ECY) [mailto:rbur461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 9:41 AM
To: kristinm2@ptpc.com
Cc: Newman, Alan (ECY); Schneider, Douglas (ECY); Johnston, Jeff (ECY)
Subject: PTPC Bart Determination

Kristin;

Attached is the draft BART Determination Support Document for PTPC for review and comments. I am also working on a draft order, but it is not quite at the stage for comments yet. Please send me comments as soon as possible, but no later than 2 weeks.

As summarized in the executive summary on page iii, Ecology agrees with that the current level of emissions control is BART for the four applicable units. The report is based mostly on PTPC's BART Analysis and Determination report submitted in December, 2007, so it should not contain any surprises.

My purpose for these reviews is to aid in creating a support document that best presents the BART decisions and the reasoning behind them to an audience of both regulators and public. The regulators will include federal staff such as the Land Managers for our national parks and forests, and other BART programs around the nation. The public could include anyone interested in the BART program on a nationwide basis, and also public interested in your facility in particular.

Thanks again for your help. Please give me a call if there are any

questions. I still have a few small questions (some are in the comment fields of the doc), so will give you a call next week to discuss.

Bob Burmark
Air Quality Program
Phone: (360)407-6812
Fax: (360)407-7534
Email: rbur461@ecy.wa.gov

Department of Ecology
PO Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

This email and any attached files are the exclusive property of Port Townsend Paper Corporation and its affiliates ("PTPC"), are deemed privileged and confidential and are intended solely for the use of the to whom it is addressed. If you are not a named recipient or believe you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email and any attachments. Any unauthorized use, reproduction or dissemination of this email is strictly prohibited. P cannot accept liability for any statements made which are clearly the sender's own and not expressly made on its behalf.